

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/551,415	11/17/2005	Toshiyuki Oga	Q90624	4446	
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 05/29/200 ION PLLC	EXAMINER			
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			NGUYEN, TUAN HOANG		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	. ,		2618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/29/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/551,415	OGA, TOSHIYUKI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
TUAN H. NGUYEN	2618		

	TOAN H. NGOTEN	2010	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>X he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1:		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the si set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nortened statutory period for reply origi	nally set in the final Office	e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b</li> </ol>			cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con		ΓE below);	
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett</li> </ul>		divalent on almost the last the	a lancan for
appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially rec	adding of simplifying ti	ie issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11	l6 and 41.33(a)).		
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>See attached Notice of Non-Cor</li> </ol>	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3-4,11, and 12.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,5-10 and 13-50</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	before or on the date of filing a No sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> it or other evidence is	be entered necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SR/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618

/Nay A. Maung/

Continuation of 3, NOTE: Applicant's argument are not persuasive. Regarding applicant's argument filed on 04/08/2008 that Imura Shigeru et al. (Japanese Publication Number: 08-149035 hereinafter, "Imura") in view of Ono et al. (U.S PAT. 7,050,779 hereinafter, "Ono") reference cited by Examiner does not teach or suggests "at least an information processing terminal wherein a baseband processing section and the information processing terminal operate in synchronization with a clock as claimed by Applicant" (applicant's argument page 2). Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant arguments. Applicant should refer to Ono reference col. 6 lines 18-28 i.e., "a control register CRG is provided in the control circuit 27, and the setting of the register CRG is made on the basis of the signals from the baseband circuit 6. More concretely, clock signals CLK for synchronization, data signals SDATA, and load enable signals LEN as control signals are supplied to the RF processing unit 5 (read on information processing terminal) from the baseband circuit 6 (read on baseband processing section). When the load enable signals LEN are asserted to effective levels, the control circuit 27 sequentially takes in the data signals SDATA transmitted from the baseband circuit 6 in synchronization with the clock signals CLK to set them into the above-mentioned control register CRG", figure 1, col. 6 lines 18-28 where as the Examiner interpreted "a baseband processing section and said information processing terminal operate in synchronization with a clock". Further, Applicant argues that the RF processing unit does not perform information processing as understood by one of ordinary skill in the art, but performs the well understood functions of modulation and demodulation of transmitted signals (Applicant argument page 3), Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant arguments. Applicant should refer to figure 1 of Ono reference where the RF processing unit 5 performs the functions of modulation and demodulation of transmitted signals where as the modulation and demodulation functions that process the data/information and the signals together. Therefore, the rejection of claims 1,2,5-10 and 13-50 are maintain.

/Tuan Nguyen/ Examiner 571-272-8329

/Nay A. Maung/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618